BARRETT MAHONY CONSULTING ENGINEERS CIVIL & STRUCTURAL



SITE SPECIFIC FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR PLANNING

STRATEGIC HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AT ST. JOSEPH'S HOUSE AND ADJOINING PROPERTIES, LEOPARDSTOWN

Contact Information

Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers Ltd 52-54 Lower Sandwith Street, Dublin 2 D02 WR26

Telephone: 01 677 3200 Email: bmce@bmce.ie

www.bmce.ie



Document Information

Project STRATEGIC HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AT ST. JOSEPH'S HOUSE AND ADJOINING PROPERTIES, LEOPARDSTOWN

Project No. 19.236

Document Title Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment Report For Planning

Document No. 19.236-FR-01

Document History

lssue	Date	Description	Orig.	PE	lssue Check
PL1	12/05/2020	DRAFT ISSUED FOR PLANNING	PR	PS	JC
PL2	15/06/2020	ISSUED FOR PLANNING	PR	PS	JC
PL3	06/05/2021	DRAFT FOR SW AUDIT	PS	PS	JC
PL4	20/09/2021	FOR PLANNING SUBMISSION	PS	PS	JC
PL5	22/09/2021	FOR PLANNING SUBMISSION	PS	PS	JC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	5
1.1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	5
1.2	LOCAL AUTHORITY CORRESPONDENCE	8
1.2.1	1 Pre-Application Consultation 2	9
2.0	SITE FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT	10
2.1	INTRODUCTION	10
2.2	STAGE 1: FLOOD RISK IDENTIFICATION	10
2.2.2	1 OPW Hazard Map	10
2.2.2	2 Pluvial Flooding	11
2.2.3	3 Fluvial Flooding	11
2.2.4	4 Flood Zones	11
2.2.5	5 Vulnerability Class	11
2.2.6	6 Development Classification	12
2.3	STAGE 2: INITIAL FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT	12
2.3.2	1 Examination of potential flooding sources that can affect the site	12
2.3.2	2 Appraisal of the availability and adequacy of existing information and flood zone	
тар	S	13
2.3.3	3 Determination of what technical studies are appropriate	13
2.3.4	4 Description of what residual risks will be assessed and how they might be	
mitig	gated and potential impacts of development on flooding elsewhere	14
2.4	STAGE 3: DETAILED FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT	14
2.4.2	1 Assessment for Flood Risk due to Potential Blockage of the surface water	
draii	nage system on site	15
2.4.2	2 Site Drainage System Maximum Flood Levels & Basement Car Park	15
2.4.3	3 Ground Floor Levels	16
2.4.4	4 Public Sewer Network	16
3.0	CONCLUSION	17

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX I PREVIOUS COMPLIANCE INFORMATION
- APPENDIX II OPW FLOOD MAP REPORT FOR THE SITE
- APPENDIX III CFRAMS FLOOD MAPPING (Tidal Flood Depth and Extents)
- APPENDIX IV SURFACE WATER OVERLAND FLOW PLAN

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Description

Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers Ltd. have been commissioned by Homeland Silverpines Limited to prepare a Flood Risk Assessment Report on the proposed site Leopardstown Road, Dublin 18 as part of the Planning Application.



Figure 1.1 – Site Location



Figure 1.2 – Aerial View

The development will consist of a new residential and mixed use scheme to include apartments, residential amenity space, a café and a childcare facility as follows:

- The demolition of 10 no. properties and associated outbuildings at 'Madona House' (single storey), 'Woodleigh' (2 storeys), 'Cloonagh' (2 storeys), 'Souk El Raab (2 storeys), 'Wellbrook' (2 storeys), 'Calador' (2 storeys), 'Alhambra' (2 storeys), 'Dalwhinnie' (2 storeys), 'Annaghkeen' (1-2 storeys) and 'The Crossing' (single storey) (combined demolition approx. 2,291.3 sq m GFA)
- The refurbishment, separation and material change of use of Saint Joseph's House (a Protected Structure, RPS No. 1548) from residential care facility to residential use and a childcare facility; and the construction of a new build element to provide for an overall total of 463 no. residential units, residential amenity space and a café as follows:
 - Block A (5 storeys) comprising 49 no. apartments (13 no. 1 bed units, 33 no. 2 bed units and 3 no. 3 bed units);
 - Block B (4 7 storeys) comprising 88 no. apartments (28 no. 1 bed units, 57 no. 2 bed units and 3 no. 3 bed units);
 - Block C (5 7 storeys) comprising 115 no. apartments (26 no. studio units, 26 no. 1 bed units and 57 no. 2 bed units and 6 no. 3 bed units);
 - Block D (5 10 storeys) comprising 157 no. apartments (36 no. studio unit, 40 no. 1 bed units and 81 no. 2 bed units), residential amenity areas of approx. 636 sq m and a café of approx. 49 sq m;
 - Block E (St. Joseph's House) (2 storeys) comprising 9 no. apartments (8 no. 2 bed units and 1 no. 3 bed units) and a childcare facility of 282 sq m with associated outdoor play areas of approx. 130 sq m;
 - Block F (3 6 storeys) comprising 45 no. apartments (23 no. studio units, 10 no. 1 bed units; and 12 no. 2 bed units);
- Open Space (approx. 9,885 sq m)
- 259 no. car parking spaces (232 no. at basement level and 27 no. at surface level)
- 968 no. bicycle spaces (816 no. at basement level and 152 no. at surface level)
- 10 no. motorcycle spaces (all at basement level)
- Vehicular Access
- Basement Areas
- Substations and Switch Rooms
- All associated site development works

Planning permission was granted for a residential development consisting of 122 no. units by An Bord Pleanala on 28th August 2017 (Ref: PL 06D.249248) on part of the planning application site, refer to figure 1.4.



Figure 1.3 – Proposed Development



Figure 1.4 – Phase 1 (permitted scheme) drainage layout Scope of this Report

The flood risks associated with the proposed development are addressed in this report and are based on existing available information at the time of writing the report. This report should be read in conjunction with the drawings listed below submitted with the planning application.

Refer also to the Civil Infrastructure Report which also takes part of this planning application.

- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1000 Buried Foul & Surface Water Drainage Layout
- BPR-BMD-00-B1-DR-C-1001 Basement Drainage Layout
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1005 Schematic SuDS Plan Layout
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1006 Catchments and Positively Drained Areas
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1007 Soakaway Flow Routing Plan under the Basement
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1010 Road Layout
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1015 Surface Water Overland Flow Routes
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1020 Buried Watermain Layout
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1050 Swept Path Analysis Fire Tender Access
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1051 Swept Path Analysis Refuse Vehicle
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1060 Sightlines at Leopardstown Road Junction
- BPR-BMD-00-XX-DR-C-1100 Surface Water Longitudinal Drainage Sections
- BPR-BMD-00-XX-DR-C-1101 Foul Water Longitudinal Drainage Sections
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1200 Surface Water Drainage Details
- BPR-BMD-00-B1-DR-C-1202 Basement Drainage Details
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-C-1205 SuDS Details Sheet 1 of 4
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-C-1206 SuDS Details Sheet 2 of 4
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-C-1207 SuDS Details Sheet 3 of 4
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-C-1208 SuDS Details Typical Green Roof Details Sheet 4 of 4
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-C-1209 Wastewater Pumping Station Plan
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-C-1209A Wastewater Pumping Details Sheet 1 of 2
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-C-1209B Wastewater Pumping Details Sheet 2 of 2
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-C-1209C Suction Tanker Vehicle Tracking Study
- BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1210 Road and Paving Details
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-S-1080 Foundation Sections Location Plan
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-S-1081 Foundation Sections Sheet 1 of 3
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-S-1082 Foundation Sections Sheet 2 of 3
- BPR-BMD-00-ZZ-DR-S-1083 Foundation Sections Sheet 3 of 3

1.2 Local Authority Correspondence

Planning permission was granted in 2017 for a previous planning application with register reference D17A/0337 and decision order number P/1342/17. Refer to Appendix I for further information about that development.

There was a request from DLRCoCo relating to flood risk, that prior to commencement of development mitigation measures against the risk of flooding shall be agreed with the planning authority including measures to address egress of occupancy from the building in the case of flooding of surrounding land. This request formed Condition 9 of PL06D.249248 grant of planning as follows:

Water supply and drainage arrangements including the attenuation and disposal of surface water shall comply with the requirements of the planning authority for such works and services. In addition prior to commencement of development mitigation measures against the risk of flooding shall be agreed with the planning authority including measures to address egress of occupancy from the building in the case of flooding of surrounding land.

In May 2019 BMCE responded on behalf of Homeland Silverpines Ltd. stating that:

or 33 inch trunk watermains which run along together through the adjacent public park along the northeast boundary to the site. These are located 6 metres out approximately from the site boundary line. There is no significant risk to the site from flooding from a burst in these watermains for the reasons outlined below:

1. The ground level in the public park, along the watermain route, is 500 to 900mm below the general level of the subject site & between 900 & 2300mm below the proposed floor levels in the development. The park falls away from the site towards the northeast (towards the sea). Escaping water will travel northwards. Any leaking water is therefore very unlikely to enter the site.

2. The top of the two ramps to the basement car park area at +81.25 and +82.10 respectively, which is significantly above the public park level of between +79.90 & +80.40. The top of ramp level is set 100mm above the adjacent ground level to eliminate the risk of any overland flow of water entering the car park. The risk of any water from a burst main entering the basement is therefore deemed to be negligible.

This letter has been included in Appendix I for further information.

1.2.1 Pre-Application Consultation 2

The PAC2 meeting was held on the MS Teams platform on 29th September 2020, and some concern was raised by the DLRCC drainage engineer in relation to the proposed foul connection which would flow into the system serving Leopardstown Lawn downstream, which is a known area of foul surcharge and flooding during storm events.

RPS were engaged by our client to investigate this historical flooding. They modelled the system and prepared a report which outlined solutions. A solution was agreed with IW whereby wastewater from the site would be discharged into the Sandyford sewer system instead of the Leopardstown system to eliminate the risk of flooding.

2.0 SITE FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT

2.1 Introduction

This flood risk assessment (FRA) aims to identify, quantify, and communicate to decisionmakers and other stakeholders the risk of flooding to land, property, and people to the subject site or from the subject site. The purpose is to provide enough information to determine whether approving the application for the proposed development is appropriate.

This flood risk assessment (FRA) was undertaken for this site to:

- Identify whether and the degree to which flood risk is an issue.

- Develop appropriate flood risk mitigation and management measures for the development.

The flood risk assessment outlined below is carried out in accordance with the OPW publication "The Planning System and Flood Risk Assessment Guidelines for Planning Authorities".

The stages involved in the assessment of flood risk are listed in these publications as follows:

- Stage 1: Flood Risk Identification
- Stage 2: Initial Flood Risk Assessment
- Stage 3: Detailed Flood Risk Assessment

The OPW publication also outlines a Sequential Approach for determining whether a development is appropriate for a specified location in terms of flood risk. The categorization of the subject site in terms of the OPW's sequential approach is further outlined in section 1.2 below.

2.2 Stage 1: Flood Risk Identification

Stage 1 identifies whether there are any flooding or surface water management issues related to the site, i.e. it identifies whether a flood risk assessment is required.

The existing Water Treatment Plant in Mulchanstown is 300 meters away from the site and the seashore coastline at Blackrock is approximately 3.3 kilometres to the North-East of the site and does not pose a risk due to the distance and intervening topography.

An 800 diameter watermain carrying water from Vartry reservoir to Stillorgan reservoir passes along the eastern boundary of the site.

There are no watercourses in the vicinity of the site

2.2.1 OPW Hazard Map

The first source considered is the OPW Flood Hazard Mapping service. The OPW Map (National Flood Hazard Mapping Service) presented in Appendix II shows one past flood incident recorded on the site or the adjacent area to the site back in 1980, due to the pipe

size which wasn't considered adequate, and the general flooding in that area was a road levels problem.

2.2.2 Pluvial Flooding

All rain falling on the site will be collected in the new surface water drainage system and diverted back to the public surface water sewer networks in Silverpines and Leopardstown Road. Surface water on site will pass through a SuDS treatment train and flow rates will be attenuated before discharge. The system has been designed without flooding for a 100 year storm in accordance with GDSDS requirements. Therefore, the risk of pluvial flooding within the site is negligible. In the event of a system blockage, there is considerable rainwater storage available given the extensive coverage of the site with SuDS measures. Any overland flow will be southwards along paved or green areas between buildings towards the Leopardstown Road, or into the park to the south passing between St. Josephs' House and Block A. Please refer to BM drawing "*BPR-BMD-00-00-DR-C-1015 – Surface Water Overland Flow Routes*" contained in Appendix IV.

2.2.3 Fluvial Flooding

The fluvial flooding maps do not show any flood risk in the vicinity of the site due to river or sea flooding. i.e. the site is in Flood Zone C. Further information can be found in Appendix III.

2.2.4 Flood Zones

The sequential approach defines the flood zones as detailed below:

- *Flood Zone A* where the probability of flooding from rivers and the sea is highest (greater than 1% or 1 in 100 for river flooding or 0.5% or 1 in 200 for coastal flooding);
- Flood Zone B where the probability of flooding from rivers and the sea is moderate (between 0.1% or 1 in 1000 and 1% or 1 in 100 for river flooding and between 0.1% or 1 in 1000 year and 0.5% or 1 in 200 for coastal flooding); and
- Flood Zone C where the probability of flooding from rivers and the sea is low (less than 0.1% or 1 in 1000 for both river and coastal flooding). Flood Zone C covers all areas of the plan which are not in zones A or B.

The proposed development is located in <u>Flood Zone C</u>.

2.2.5 Vulnerability Class

The sequential approach describes the vulnerability classes as follows:

- Highly vulnerable development hospitals, schools, houses, student halls of residence etc.;
- Less vulnerable development retail, commercial, industrial, agriculture etc.;
- Water compatible development docks, marinas, amenity open space etc.

The development is a residential development which is classed as <u>'Highly Vulnerable'</u>.

2.2.6 Development Classification

The matrix of vulnerability as per "The Planning System and Flood Risk Management – Guidelines for Planning Authorities" is reproduced in Table 1.1 below.

	Flood Zone A	Flood Zone B	Flood Zone C
Highly vulnerable development	Justification Test	Justification Test	Appropriate
Less vulnerable development	Justification Test	Appropriate	Appropriate
Water compatible development	Appropriate	Appropriate	Appropriate

Table 2.1 – Matrix of Vulnerabi	ility
---------------------------------	-------

This development is therefore deemed <u>appropriate</u> and so no further flood assessment is required. However, to account for the proximity of the development to the existing watermains, a detailed Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken, as set out below.

2.3 Stage 2: Initial Flood Risk Assessment

The initial flood risk assessment should ensure that all relevant flood risk issues are assessed in relation to the decisions to be made and potential conflicts between flood risk and development are addressed. It should assess the adequacy of existing information and any flood defences.

2.3.1 Examination of potential flooding sources that can affect the site

The possible sources of flood water are assessed in the table below using the "Source – Pathway – Receptor Model".

Source	Pathway	Receptor	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk	Notes
Tidal Note	Overtop Breach	People Property	Unlikely	High	Low	1
Fluvial Note	Overtop Breach	People Property	Unlikely	High	Low	
Pluvial Surface Water	Overflow / Blockage	People Property	Possible	High	Medium	2
Groundwater	Rising Groundwater Levels	People Property	Possible	Low	Medium	3

Table 2.2 – The Possible Sources of Flood Water

Note 1: The site development is 3300 metres from the sea at Blackrock.

Note 2: There is an 825mm diameter watermain along the northern boundary of the site.

Note 3: The new basement will be waterproofed against groundwater ingress.

2.3.2 Appraisal of the availability and adequacy of existing information and flood zone maps

2.3.2.1 Tidal/Fluvial Flooding

Information is available on possible flooding of the area surrounding the site in the Eastern CFRAM Study by the OPW. The study is a requirement of the EU 'Floods' Directive (2007/60/EC). The PFRA (Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment) map is also available and considers flood risk arising from any major source of flooding, including natural sources such as river, sea, groundwater and rainfall as well as infrastructural sources such as urban drainage systems, reservoirs, water supply systems ESB and Waterways Ireland Infrastructure. The tidal flood risk is not assessed in this study, as the nearest seashore coastline is 3.3km away from the site.



Figure 2.1 – Extent Fluvial Map

The relevant maps are contained in Appendix III and show that the site is located outside of the Flood Risk Areas.

2.3.3 Determination of what technical studies are appropriate

Given the comprehensive nature of the existing information available regarding flooding, it is not considered necessary to carry out any further analysis of fluvial or tidal flooding.

2.3.4 Description of what residual risks will be assessed and how they might be mitigated and potential impacts of development on flooding elsewhere

The site is in an area where there is no possibility of flooding due to tidal/fluvial sources.

However, due to the proximity of the site to existing watermains, the unlikely event of a burst connection has been considered below in the design. Flooding of the sewer network surrounding the site is also considered.

The proposed provision of an attenuation tank on site is given further consideration below.

2.3.4.1 Pluvial Flooding

The unlikely event of a complete blockage of the surface water drainage system on site will lead to overland flow in the site from the point of blockage. Figure 1.2 below shows the flow routes.

These routes are onto the surrounding public roads and not into third party lands, refer to Appendix IV. Extract below.



Figure 2.2 – Overland Flow Routes

2.4 Stage 3: Detailed Flood Risk Assessment

Although the proposed development is classified as appropriate and there is no need for Justification Test, some aspects of the Flood Risk Management Guidelines have been revised to add more value into the comments explained above.

A detailed flood risk assessment involves the estimation of the level of flooding on the site and the performance of the development under these conditions so that a "fit for purpose" development can be delivered. Once the likely maximum flood level has been estimated, the design should develop so that the ground floor level is above this level.

Residual flood risk may remain in other areas that for operational reasons have to be below the maximum flood level (street access, bin stores, etc.) and these areas will have to incorporate flood resilient design features and flood risk management procedures so that the risk is mitigated in so far as possible.

2.4.1 Assessment for Flood Risk due to Potential Blockage of the surface water drainage system on site.

These methods ensure that the runoff response to rainfall will not be increased with respect to the pre-development condition and ensure flood risk to the relevant catchment is not increased.

In the unlikely event of a full blockage of the surface water system before or during a storm event then water will build up in the pipe system and discharge back into the ground level SuDS devices – permeable paved & porous surfaced areas & soft landscaping. Given the building levels & ground levels on site, any residential overland flow will occur in the site towards Silverpines as shown in the Figure 2.2 above (Appendix IV).

2.4.2 Site Drainage System Maximum Flood Levels & Basement Car Park

There are no significant flood risks to the site from pluvial or tidal sources. The system has been designed to ensure that the runoff response to rainfall will not be increased with respect to the pre-development condition and ensure flood risk to the relevant catchment is not increased.

Two new buried attenuation tanks beneath green areas will be provided on site to attenuate surface water run-off. The tanks are designed to fully attenuate flows from the 1 in 100year + 20% Climate Change storm events. Discharge from the tanks will be controlled on a catchment basis by Hydrobrake flow control devices installed downstream of each tank. Surface water from the southern sub-catchment on site drains to a soakaway. There is an emergency high overflow from the soakaway into the SW drainage system & out directly to the Silver Pines sewer in the event of a blockage.

As is demonstrated in the detailed Microdrainage simulation output for the worst-case scenario 1:100yr + 20% climate change storm events, the overall surface water drainage design ensures that the network does not flood during the 1 in 100yr + 20% climate change storm events. This demonstrates the design is in accordance with the GDSDS Criterion 3 (See 19.236-IR-01), and demonstrates that the risk of flooding due to fluvial events is considered to be very low.

With regard the effect of the proposed development on flooding of surrounding sites, it is also noted, that the surface water discharge from the site does not exceed the greenfield

run-off rate of QBAR. Therefore, as the discharge from the site matches QBAR, there is no effect on the surrounding lands.

As noted in section 1.2, the risk of a burst watermain is small due to the site levels and this risk has been addressed in the design of the car park ramps top levels. In addition, the basement design will be tanked, and the concrete structure will be designed to resist water pressure.

2.4.3 Ground Floor Levels

The water source feeding the Stillorgan Reservoir which is the closest watercourse to the proposed site is shown on OPW CFRAMS Maps (Appendix III), shows the water level in the 10% Fluvial Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) Event (node 1061M00413) which a maximum water level of +78.3mOD, whereas the proposed FFL has been set at +80.00mOD as the lowest.

The only possible source of significant flooding to the subject site is from a burst in the 14 inch or 33 inch trunk watermains which run along together through the adjacent public park along the northeast boundary to the site. These are located 6 metres out approximately from the site boundary line. The risk of any water from a burst main entering the basement is therefore deemed to be negligible for the reasons outlined below:

- The ground level in the Leopardstown Lawn public park, along the watermain route, is 500 to 900mm below the general level of the subject site & between 900 & 2300mm below the proposed floor levels in the development. The park falls away from the site towards the northeast (towards the sea). Escaping water will travel northwards away from the site. Any leaking water is therefore very unlikely to enter the site.
- The top of the two ramps to the basement car park area at +81.25 and +82.10 respectively, which is significantly above the public park level of between +79.90 & +80.40. The top of ramp level is set 100mm above the adjacent ground level to eliminate the risk of any overland flow of water entering the car park. The basement to be surrounded in a waterproof concrete structure to be protected against groundwater ingress.

This shows no flood risk for the proposed development.

2.4.4 Public Sewer Network

There is no history of flooding in the surrounding Surface Water sewer network, and given the level of attenuation to greenfield runoff rates, which reduces the net discharge to the sewer in comparison with current rates, it is deemed negligible risk that the development will cause flooding to the SW network.

As stated in Section 1.2.1, RPS were engaged by our client to investigate this historical flooding. They modelled the system and prepared a report which outlined solutions. A solution was agreed with IW whereby wastewater from the site would be discharged into

the Sandyford sewer system instead of the Leopardstown system to eliminate the risk of flooding.

3.0 CONCLUSION

The flood risk assessment has been carried out in accordance with the OPW publication "The Planning System and Flood Risk Assessment Guidelines for Planning Authorities".

There is no significant risk of flooding on the proposed development site or no significant increased flooding risk to surrounding areas from the development. Therefore, the development is deemed acceptable from a flood risk assessment perspective.



APPENDIX 1 PREVIOUS COMPLIANCE INFORMATION



Dublin | London | Sofia Sandwith House, 52-54 Lower Sandwith Street, Dublin 2, D02 WR26, Ireland

 Phone
 +353 1 6773200

 Email
 bmce@bmce.ie

 www.bmce.ie

BARRETT MAHONY CONSULTING ENGINEERS CIVIL & STRUCTURAL Administrative Officer, Planning Department, Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council, County Hall, Maine Road Dun Laoghaire, Co.Dublin 30th May 2019

Re: Compliance with Condition 9 PL06D.249248 (DLRCC Reg. Ref. D17A/0337) – Annaghkeen, Leopardstown Road, Dublin 18.

Dear Sir or Madam,

We are acting as consulting civil & structural engineers for the above development. On behalf of our client, we have set out below a response to Condition 9. This condition is as follows:

Water supply and drainage arrangements including the attenuation and disposal of surface water shall comply with the requirements of the planning authority for such works and services. In addition prior to commencement of development mitigation measures against the risk of flooding shall be agreed with the planning authority including measures to address egress of occupancy from the building in the case of flooding of surrounding land.

<u>Response</u>: The only possible source of significant flooding to the subject site is from a burst in the 14 inch or 33 inch trunk watermains which run along together through the adjacent public park along the northeast boundary to the site. These are located 6 metres out approximately from the site boundary line. There is no significant risk to the site from flooding from a burst in these watermains for the reasons outlined below:

- 1. The ground level in the public park, along the watermain route, is 500 to 900mm below the general level of the subject site & between 900 & 2300mm below the proposed floor levels in the development. The park falls away from the site towards the northeast (towards the sea). Escaping water will travel northwards. Any leaking water is therefore very unlikely to enter the site.
- The top of the two ramps to the basement car park are at +81.25 and +82.10 respectively, which is significantly above the public park level of between +79.90 & +80.40. The top of ramp level is set 100mm above the adjacent ground level to eliminate the risk of any overland flow of water entering the car park. The risk of any water from a burst main entering the basement is therefore deemed to be negligible.

The two points above are explained diagrammatically in Figure 1 attached to this letter. We trust that this is satisfactory but please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any queries.

Yours sincerely,

John Considim

John Considine Chartered Engineer & Director of Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers



Managing Director Ciarán Kennedy, BSc(Hons) StructEng, Dip Struct Eng, CEng, MIStructE, MIEI, FConsEI. Directors Vincent Barrett, BSc (Eng), Dip Struct Eng, MSc, DIC, CEng, MIStructE, MIEI, FConsEI. John Considine, BE, CEng, MIStructE, MIEI, FConsEI. Stephen O'Connor, BSc (Eng), Dip Struct Eng, CEng, MIStructE, MIEI, FConsEI. Associate Directors John Cunningham, BEng, CEng, MIEI. Ed Carthy, NCEA Cert Eng Tech IEI.

Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers Limited. Registered Office: Sandwith House, 52 - 54 Lower Sandwith Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. Registered No. 216066 Vat No. IE8216066F







APPENDIX 2 **OPW FLOOD**

OPW National Flood Hazard Mapping

Summary Local Area Report

This Flood Report summarises all flood events within 2.5 kilometres of the map centre.

The map centre is in:

County: Dublin

NGR: O 202 264

This Flood Report has been downloaded from the Web site www.floodmaps.ie. The users should take account of the restrictions and limitations relating to the content and use of this Web site that are explained in the Disclaimer box when entering the site. It is a condition of use of the Web site that you accept the User Declaration and the Disclaimer.



Δ	6. Carysfort May 1993	Start Date: 26/May/1993	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:2	
	Additional Information: Photos (6) More Mapped Information		
A	7. Ramore Leopardstown Road May and June 1993	Start Date: 01/May/1993	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3	
	Additional Information: Reports (2) More Mapped Information		
Δ	8. School House Lane Sandyford Nov 1982	Start Date: 26/Nov/1982	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3	
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information		
A	9. Torquay Road Foxrock Nov 1982	Start Date: 05/Nov/1982	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3	
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information		
A	10. Sandyford Church Jan 1980	Start Date: 21/Jan/1980	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:4	
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information		
Δ	11. Lakelands Close Stillorgan Jan 1980	Start Date: 21/Jan/1980	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:4	
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information		
A	12. Brighton Terrace Jan 1980	Start Date: 01/Jan/1980	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3	
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information		
A	13. Leopardstown Road Dec 1979	Start Date: 14/Dec/1979	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:4	
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information		
Δ	14. Brighton Cottages Dec 1978	Start Date: 26/Dec/1978	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3	
	Additional Information: Reports (2) More Mapped Information		
Δ	15. Carysfort Avondale Lawn Recurring	Start Date:	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3	
	Additional Information: Reports (7) More Mapped Information		
Δ	16. Brighton Cottages Foxrock Recurring	Start Date:	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3	
	Additional Information: Reports (7) More Mapped Information		
A	17. Carysfort MaretimoStillorgan Gr Orpen Gr Recurring	Start Date:	
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3	
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information		
	18. Torquay Road Recurring	Start Date:	
1	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3	
	Additional Information: Reports (4) More Mapped Information		

4	19. Carysfort Maretimo Stream StillorganPark Recurring	Start Date:
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:3
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information	
Δ	20. Brewery Road Recurring	Start Date:
\bigtriangleup	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:4
	Additional Information: Reports (3) More Mapped Information	
	21. Ballyogan Stream Lambs Cross Recurring	Start Date:
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:4
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information	
Δ	22. Carrickmines River Sandyford Hall Recurring	Start Date:
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:4
	Additional Information: Reports (1) More Mapped Information	
	23. Kilgobbin Road Recurring	Start Date:
	County: Dublin	Flood Quality Code:4
	Additional Information: Reports (2) More Mapped Information	

Elooding Incidents, January 1980. The following incidents in Area 12 elate to 21. 1.80 only :-Brighton Terrace. The 12" dia foul ever backed up and over Clowed effecting in particular, shop. The house drains which revelowed entered a store beside the shop. Damage was averted by Wainage Maintenance Section unping into the Surface Water Irain which unlike Dec 1978 gave otrouble. The backing up of the ever was as a result of the Isurface ater from the roads gulleys drained nto the foul system." as asked Roads Department to rake alternative awahgements for ead Drainage. (LB/NB 29.1.80) 41, South Park, Because of Loin A.J. Sover flowed flooding he garden, Work commenced on 3.2.80 on the relaying of a section f 12" dia, sever in Meadoweld 2. B.S. grounds to eliminate a

enstriction in this line, 22-33 Marian Park, Blackbock. Flood. ig from blocked voods gulleys Myattville Park. Road Gleeding. Stradbrook Hall Lodge lamsever backing up house drains ice also items 6& 7 and copy of itter A/CACE to A.S.O. Sanitary Services · flooding in NO 19, Wynbergh Park C.A.C.E.) and = <u>Al, hynberg Pk.</u> Gardens 128, Wynterg Park S flooded in otticases grom sever backing up ouse drain A.J.S. There is probably serious infiltraton through the joints and possibly reaks in the main sever which searthenware laid in 1907. As ellas this roof water is dramed n at Stradbrock Hall, and at No A Wynberg Park from a surface ater combined dotein serving 6 No ouses, and the roads gulley outide No 14 also drains into the sewer,

Seafield, off Corbawn Lane. Last ouse on Shakill Main Sever had regarden flooded from the main obling up through the house drain_ J.S. The Sterm Overflow here had een closed off because Mc Inerner ad been abiling the system in the djacent development. "The 12" dia. iversionary selev in Corbann Lana hauld have cateved for the total low Gron New Valet but some of his sewage was still coming dout he 9" dia, line in Carbaun Lane. his Now has now been totally divsted into the 21" dia, sever at Shan anagh Park. , South Park/Clonkeen Road. There as extensive glooding of the vord n this area. The original stream nder the Clonkeen Road was piped na 12" dias pipe presumably by the oods Dept. This is grossly inadquate as the S.W. drain in South dite is 18" dia. We would presume it supto Roads to upsize this drawn. gnot, we would have to make provstons to relay in a pipe of adequate

apacity, <u>Coolevin, Bally brack</u>. Complaints ever veceived from

50

concerning gardens floeded , a depth of 7" This gleeding was rem the drainage of a Park's avea, enetrating into 6 dek gardens arks Dept-should be asked to provide ind drainage in this area with disrange to a Suitable water course or ryace Drain, Flooded Set. 9.2.80. B. Ratchine. This house is at the ch of a field belonging to the Church "Ireland, Church Road, Bally brack. his field drains to a ditch behind rehouse and is piped through an ence into the Surface Diain to the ear of the house.

Area II -Flooding on the 19th, December_____ flected.___ eopardstown Road. There is no rainage accoss the road for the ater accumulating behind the wall

the Legionnaires of Christ grounds 5 that it vous down for a considcable distance as failes Leopardsun Avenue where Roads Dept. have id a 6" dia. pipe recently to relieve 'ooding in this area. This pipe size e do not consider adequate but the eneral gooding here is a Roads ept. problem. Ivenery Road The screen at Esso as temporarily partially blocked with one flow across the entrance along he side of the road and back into estread on the other side, inden Road, Roads Dept. problem alcelands. Some flooding into ardens until screen could be cleared Ulvey Parke. Roads Dept (Maintenand ennetted gulley into main S.W. drain 2 avert glooding here. llen Park, See Gelow.

51

Hooding on January 21st & 31st. ccurred in the following areas akelands. Flooding in back goedns of Nos 54 & 56. There is genvallepsome lodgement of water in

here back gardens from temporarily locking of the screek. The stretch fstream 103 limmetres was sched-Ped to be piped under a Minor Works schemer 1980 but this work may be liminated in the general atback. The problem at Lakeland is aggrevatd'éince, upstream, haddeuelped portion of the Industrial Estate thich is already piped into the tream and is conditioned to pipe resection of stream within his ream the Industrial Estate. Svewery Road. Temporary by passing f screen, across Esso entrance Viery Avenue/Avoca Avenue/Linden Load. There are no gulleys in the wea to drain surface water off the Lord. Most of the responsibility ould lie with Din Looghaire Borough int a small section is under the D.C.C. inden Road (outside convalescent ome). Problem with block voads gulley. -oad's Dept. have attended to problem Hen Park! (F.X. Woods/Farrell Homes_ evelopment) The builder has evected a boundary

all on the estate almost parallel to e contours on a reasonably steep radient and have interfered with the atural drainage of the ground so that re accumulated water is eventually iverted into No. 71 Allen Park nd the adjoining house as well as ausing water-logging in all the gardns along Merritte Road. A Land vain at the rear of this block wall vaining into a surface water drain ould remedy this stuation (B. Control) ommy Luccan, Bracken Brae, Sandy foid ewage backed up through monhole main in back gouder. Sandy ford illage is on a combined surface/foul ystem. We are also investigating he situation in Moveen Estate (N.B.A. D.C.C. which is about to be taken n charge, andy ford Church. Flooding (of a evious enough nature) caused by 6 Guilder 6 locking a Stream with naccumulation of 6 locks, pipes, lanks & scaffolding and causing ser flow into the church. The builders ame is

BARRETT MAHONY CONSULTING ENGINEERS CIVIL & STRUCTURAL



APPENDIX **3** CFRAMS FLOOD MAPPING









BARRETT MAHONY CONSULTING ENGINEERS CIVIL & STRUCTURAL



APPENDIX **4** OVERLAND FLOOD ROUTE

